arXiv:1405.7118v1 [math.RA] 28 May 2014

RATIONAL SIMPLICIAL GEOMETRY AND PROJECTIVE UNITAL LATTICE-ORDERED ABELIAN GROUPS

LEONARDO MANUEL CABRER

Abstract. A *unital ℓ-group* is an abelian group equipped with a translation invariant lattice-order and with a distinguished *strong unit*, i.e. an element whose positive integer multiples eventually dominate every element of G. If X is a compact subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$, the set $\mathcal{M}(X)$ of real-valued piecewise linear maps with integer coefficients, whose addition and lattice operations defined pointwise and whose distinguished element is the constant map 1, is a unital ℓ-group.

In this paper we provide a geometric decription of finitely generated projective unital ℓ-groups. We prove that a finitely unital ℓ-group is projective if and only if it is isomorphic to $\mathcal{M}(P)$ for some polyhedron P which is rational, contractible, contains an integer point, and satisfies an elementary arithmetical-topological property.

1. Introduction

An *ℓ-group* G is an abelian group equipped with a translation invariant lattice-order. A (unital) ℓ-group (G,u) is *(regular) projective* if whenever $\psi\colon (A,a)\to(B,b)$ is a surjective (unital) ℓ-homomorphism and $\phi\colon (G,u)\to(B,b)$ is a (unital) ℓ-homomorphism (i.e. preserve the lattice and group structure and the unit), there is a unital ℓ-homomorphism $\theta\colon (G,u)\to(A,a)$ such that $\phi=\psi\circ\theta$. Baker [1] and Beynon [3] proved that an ℓ-group G is finitely generated projective if and only it is finitely presented. The characterisation of projective *unital* ℓ-groups is far more delicate.

Given a rational polyhedron $P\subseteq[0,1]^n$ (see Section 3.1), we let $\mathcal{M}(P)$ denote the set of all continuous functions $f\colon P\to\mathbb{R}$ having the following property: there are finitely many linear (in the affine sense) polynomials $p_1,\dots,p_m$ with integer coefficients, such that for all $x\in[0,1]^n$ there is $i\in\{1,\dots,m\}$ with $f(x)=p_i(x)$. The set $\mathcal{M}(P)$ is the universe of a subalgebra of the unital ℓ-group $(\mathbb{R},1)^P$. Therefore, $\mathcal{M}(P)$ carries a natural structure of unital ℓ-group, where the lattice operations and the group operations are defined pointwise from $\mathbb{R}$ and the strong unit is the constant map $v\in P\mapsto 1\in\mathbb{R}$.

In [5] the author and D. Mundici presented a characterisation of projective unital ℓ-groups as those isomorphic to $\mathcal{M}(P)$ where the rational polyhedron $P\subseteq[0,1]^n$ is a special kind of retract of $[0,1]^n$ (for some $n=1,2,\dots$) called $\mathbb{Z}$-retract. Since $\mathbb{Z}$-retract are continuous retractions of cubes, they are trivially *contractible*, that is, homotopically equivalent to a point (see for example [7, Chapter 0])). Another important property of $\mathbb{Z}$-retracts is that they are *strongly regular* (see [5, Definition 3.1] and subsection 3.2). In [4, Theorem 4.17], we observe how strong regularity is connected with the notion of anchored polytopes defined by Jeřábek in [8]. In Lemma 3.6 we prove that a rational polyhedron P is strongly regular if and only if for each $v\in P\cap\mathbb{Q}^n$ there exist $w\in\mathbb{Z}^n$ and $\varepsilon>0$ such that the convex segment $\mathrm{conv}(v,v+\varepsilon(w-v))$ is contained in P. Using this result an equivalent presentation of [5, Theorem 4.5] is as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *If the unital ℓ-group (G,u) is finitely generated and projective, then there exist $n\in\{1,2,\dots\}$ and a rational polyhedron $P\subseteq[0,1]^n$ such that $(G,u)\cong\mathcal{M}(P)$ and P satisfies the following conditions:*

Date: May 29, 2014.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 06F20, 55U10. Secondary: 08B30, 52B20, 06D35.

Key words and phrases. Lattice-ordered abelian group, strong unit, projective, rational polyhedron, retract, contractibility, collapsibility, strong regularity.

This research was supported by a Marie Curie Intra European Fellowship within the 7th European Community Framework Program (ref. 299401-FP7-PEOPLE-2011-IEF).

(i) *P is contractible,*
(ii) *$P \cap \{0,1\}^n \neq \emptyset$, and*
(iii) *for each $v \in P \cap \mathbb{Q}^n$ there exist $w \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ such that the convex segment* $\operatorname{conv}(v, v + \varepsilon(w - v))$ *is contained in P.*

This paper is devoted to proving the converse of Theorem 1.1. In the special case when the rational polyhedron P is a finite union of 1-simplexes, the converse was already proved in [5, Corollary 5.4], but the general case has remained open until now.

In [9], Mundici proved that unital ℓ-groups are categorically equivalent to the variety of MV-algebras (the algebraic semantic of Łukasiewicz infinite valued logic). Since (regular) projective objects are preserved under categorical equivalences, the main result in this paper provides also a complete description of projective MV-algebras. Moreover, this paper solves the sixth problem in the list of open problems presented by Mundici in [10].

2. Simplicial Geometry

In this section we recall some definitions and results about simplicial complexes used in the rest of the paper. We refer the reader to [6] and [12] for background in elementary polyhedral topology and simplicial complexes.

2.1. **Triangulations and subdivisions.** During the rest of the paper, unless otherwise stated, m and n will denote positive integers, that is, $m, n \in \{1, 2, \ldots\}$.

For each $0 \leq k \leq n$, a *k-simplex* $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is the convex hull $S = \operatorname{conv}(v_0, \ldots, v_k)$ of affinely independent points $v_0, \ldots, v_k \in \mathbb{R}^n$. We let $\operatorname{vert}(S) = \{v_0, \ldots, v_k\}$ denote the set of vertices of S. For any $F \subseteq \operatorname{vert}(S)$ (including the empty set), the convex hull $\operatorname{conv}(F)$ is called a *face* of S.

A *polyhedron* P in $\mathbb{R}^n$ is a finite union of (always closed) simplexes $P = S_1 \cup \cdots \cup S_t$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Every simplicial complex considered in this paper will have only finitely many simplexes. For any simplicial complex Δ, its *support* $|\Delta|$ is the point-set union of all simplexes of Δ. The set of its vertices is denoted by $\operatorname{vert}(\Delta)$, that is, $\operatorname{vert}(\Delta)$ is the set of the vertices of its simplexes $\operatorname{vert}(\Delta) = \bigcup\{\operatorname{vert}(S) \mid S \in \Delta\}$. Given a polyhedron P, a *triangulation* of P is a simplicial complex Δ such that $P = |\Delta|$.

Given a polyhedron P and triangulations Δ and Σ of P we say that Δ is a *subdivision* of Σ if every simplex of Δ is contained in a simplex of Σ. For any point $p \in P$, let $\Delta_{(p)}$ be the subdivision of Δ given by replacing every simplex $S \in \Delta$ that contains p by the set of all simplexes of the form $\operatorname{conv}(F \cup \{p\})$, where F is any (including the empty) face of S that does not contain p. The triangulation $\Delta_{(p)}$ of P is called the *elementary stellar subdivision $\Delta_{(p)}$ of Δ at p* [6, Definition III.2.1]. Given two triangulations Δ and ∇ of P, ∇ is said to be a *stellar subdivision* of Δ if there exists a finite sequence $p_1, \ldots, p_m \in P$ such that, writing $\Delta_0 = \Delta$ and $\Delta_i = (\Delta_{i-1})_{(p_i)}$ for each $i = 1, \ldots, m$, it follows that $\nabla = \Delta_m$.

Lemma 2.1 ([13, Theorem 1])**.** *Let $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a polyhedron and Δ and Σ be triangulations of P. There exists a stellar subdivision ∇ of Δ that is a subdivision of Σ. Moreover, if $S \in \Delta$ is such that $S \subseteq T$ for some $T \in \Sigma$, then $S \in \nabla$.*

Corollary 2.2. *Let $P \subseteq Q \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be polyhedra and Δ a triangulation of Q. Then there exists a stellar subdivision ∇ of Δ such that*

(i) *the simplicial complex $\nabla_P = \{S \in \nabla \mid S \subseteq P\}$ is a triangulation of P, and*
(ii) *if $S \in \Delta$ is such that $S \subseteq P$, then $S \in \nabla$.*

Given polyhedra $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ and $Q \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$, a map $\eta\colon P \to Q$ is said to be *(continuous) piecewise-linear* if there exists a triangulation Δ of P such that for each $T \in \Delta$ the restriction $\eta|_T$ of η to T is an affine linear map. In this case we say that η and Δ are *compatible*.

Corollary 2.3. *Let $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ and $Q \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$ be polyhedra, and let Δ and ∇ be triangulations of P and Q, respectively. If $\eta\colon P \to Q$ is a piecewise linear map compatible with Δ, there exists a stellar subdivision Δ' of Δ such that*

(i) *for each $S \in \Delta'$, there exists $T \in \nabla$ with $\eta(S) \subseteq T$, and*

(ii) *if $S \in \Delta$ is such that there exists $T \in \nabla$ with $\eta(S) \subseteq T$, then $S \in \Delta'$.*

Proof. Let $T_1, \dots, T_s$ be the maximal simplexes in ∇. Then $\eta^{-1}(T_i)$ is a polyhedron for each $i = 1, \dots, s$. Repeated applications of Corollary 2.2, provide a triangulation Δ' of P with the following properties:

(i) Δ' is a stellar subdivision of Δ, and
(ii) for each $i = 1, \dots, s$ the simplicial complex $\Delta'_i = \{S \in \Delta' \mid S \subseteq \eta^{-1}(T_i)\}$ is a triangulation of $\eta^{-1}(T_i)$.

Since $P = \eta^{-1}(Q) = \eta^{-1}(T_1) \cup \dots \cup \eta^{-1}(T_s)$, condition (ii) is to the effect that each $S \in \Delta'$ is contained in $\eta^{-1}(T_i)$ for some $i = 1, \dots, s$. Therefore, $S \subseteq \eta^{-1}(T_i)$, that is, $\eta(S) \subseteq T_i$. □

2.2. **Abstract simplicial complexes and collapses.** Let us recall that a (*finite*) *abstract simplicial complex* is a pair (V, Σ), where V is a finite set, whose elements are called the *vertices* of (V, Σ), and Σ is a collection of subsets of V whose union is V, having the property that every subset of an element of Σ is again an element of Σ.

Two abstract simplicial complexes (V, Σ) and (V', Σ') are said to be *isomorphic* if there is a one-one map γ from V onto V' such that

$$\{w_1, \dots, w_k\} \in \Sigma \text{ if and only if } \{\gamma(w_1), \dots, \gamma(w_k)\} \in \Sigma'$$

for each $\{w_1, \dots, w_k\} \subseteq V$.

For every complex Δ, the *skeleton* of Δ is the abstract simplicial complex $(\mathrm{vert}(\Delta), \Sigma_\Delta)$, where

$$\Sigma_\Delta = \{\{w_1, \dots, w_k\} \subseteq \mathrm{vert}(\Delta) \mid \mathrm{conv}(w_1, \dots, w_k) \in \Delta\}.$$

Two simplicial complexes Δ and ∇ are *simplicially isomorphic* if their skeletons are isomorphic.

A face F of a simplex S is called *a facet of S* if it is maximal among the proper faces of S. A simplex T of a simplicial complex ∇ in $[0,1]^n$ has a *free face* F (relative to ∇) if F is a facet of T, but F is a face of no other simplex of ∇. It follows that T is a maximal simplex of ∇, and the removal of both T and F from ∇ results in the subcomplex $\nabla' = \nabla \setminus \{T, F\}$ of ∇. The transition from ∇ to ∇' is an *elementary collapse* [6, Definition III.7.2]. (In [14, p.247], elementary collapses are called "elementary contractions".) If a simplicial complex Δ can be obtained from ∇ by a sequence of elementary collapses we say that ∇ *collapses to* Δ. We say that ∇ is *collapsible* if it collapses to (the simplicial complex consisting of) one of its vertices.

Example 2.4. For each $n = 1, 2, \dots$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, and points $v_0, \dots, v_k \in \mathbb{R}^n$ the convex hull $\mathrm{conv}(v_0, \dots, v_k)$ admits a collapsible triangulation Δ. Further, Δ can be obtained in such a way that $\mathrm{vert}(\Delta) \subseteq \{v_0, \dots, v_k\}$ (see for example [6, Theorem 2.6]).

In particular, the cube $[0,1]^n$ admits a collapsible triangulation. Indeed, an example of a collapsible triangulation of the cube $[0,1]^n$ is given by the *standard triangulation* [11, p. 60], formed by the simplexes $\mathrm{conv}(C)$ whenever C is a chain in $\{0,1\}^n$ with the product order

The following result is a direct application of [14, Theorem 4].

Lemma 2.5. *Let $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a polyhedron and Δ a triangulation of P. If Δ is collapsible, then every stellar subdivision ∇ of Δ is collapsible.*

3. Rational polyhedra and $\mathbb{Z}$-maps

3.1. **Rational polyhedra and triangulations.** A simplex S is said to be *rational* if the coordinates of each $v \in \mathrm{vert}(S)$ are rational numbers. A set $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is said to be a *rational polyhedron* if there are rational simplexes $T_1, \dots, T_l \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $P = T_1 \cup \dots \cup T_l$.

We say that a simplicial complex Δ is *rational* if all simplexes of Δ are rational. Given a rational polyhedron P, a *rational triangulation* of P is a triangulation of P that is a rational simplicial complex.

Theorem 3.1 ([2, Theorem 1])**.** *Let $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a rational polyhedron, and let Δ be a triangulation of P with vertices $v_1, \dots, v_k$. For any $0 < \varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}$, there is a rational triangulation ∇ of P with vertices $w_1, \dots, w_k$ such that:*

(i) *$||v_i - w_i|| < \varepsilon$ for each $i = 1, \dots, k$, and*

(ii) *the map sending the vertex v_i of Δ to the vertex w_i of ∇ defines a simplicial isomorphism between Δ and ∇.*

Corollary 3.2. *Let $P \subseteq Q \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be such that P is a rational polyhedron and Q a convex polyhedron. Let Δ be a triangulation of Q such that the simplicial complex $\Delta_P = \{S \in \Delta \mid S \subseteq P\}$ is a triangulation of P. Then there is triangulation ∇ of Q such that:*

(i) *the simplicial comlex $\nabla_P = \{S \in \nabla \mid S \subseteq P\}$ is a rational triangulation of P, and*
(ii) *∇ and Δ are simplicially isomorphic.*

Lemma 3.3. *Let $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ and $Q \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$ be rational polyhedra, Δ a (not necessarily rational) triangulation of P and ∇ a rational triangulation of Q. Let $\eta\colon P \to Q$ be piecewise linear map compatible with Δ. If η is such that for each $S \in \Delta$ there exists $T \in \nabla$ with $\eta(S) \subseteq T$, then there exists $\eta'\colon P \to Q$ satisfying the following conditions:*

(i) *η' is a piecewise linear map compatible with Δ,*
(ii) *for each $v \in \operatorname{vert}(\Delta)$, $\eta'(v)$ is a rational point, and*
(iii) *for each $v \in \operatorname{vert}(\Delta)$, if $\eta(v)$ is a rational point, then $\eta(v) = \eta'(v)$.*

Proof. Let $v_1, \ldots, v_k$ be the vertices of Δ such that $\eta(v_i)$ is not a rational point in Q. For each $i = 1, \ldots, k$, let $T_i \in \nabla$ be the minimal simplex such that $\eta(v_i) \in T_i$. For each $i = 1, \ldots, k$ let us, arbitrarily, fix $w_i \in \operatorname{vert}(T_i)$. Let $\eta'\colon P \to \mathbb{R}^m$ be the unique piecewise linear map compatible with Δ such that

$$\eta'(v) = \begin{cases} w_i & \text{if } v = v_i \text{ for some } i = 1, \ldots, k, \\ \eta(v) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We claim that $\eta'(P) \subseteq Q$. Indeed, let $S \in \Delta$. If $\eta(v) = \eta'(v)$ for each $v \in \operatorname{vert}(S)$ (that is, $\{v_1, \ldots, v_k\} \cap S = \emptyset$), then $\eta'(S) = \eta(S)$. Now assume that $\{v_1, \ldots, v_k\} \cap S \neq \emptyset$. By hypothesis, there exists $T \in \nabla$ such that $\eta(S) \subseteq T$. For each $v_i \in \{v_1, \ldots, v_k\} \cap S$, by the minimality of T_i we have the inclusion $T_i \subseteq T$, whence $\eta'(v_i) \in T$. Therefore, $\eta'(S) = \operatorname{conv}(\eta'(\operatorname{vert}(S))) \subseteq T \subseteq Q$. □

3.2. **Regular and strongly regular triangulations.** Recall that for any rational point v in $\mathbb{Q}^n$ we let $\operatorname{den}(v)$ denote the lowest common denominator of the coordinates of v. The vector $\widetilde{v} = \operatorname{den}(v)(v, 1) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$ is called the *homogeneous correspondent* of v. A simplex $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is called *regular* if the set of homogeneous correspondents of its vertices is part of a basis of the free abelian group $\mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$. By a *regular triangulation* of a rational polyhedron P we understand a rational triangulation of P consisting of regular simplexes.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $P \subseteq Q \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be polyhedra and Δ a triangulation of Q such that the simplicial complex $\Delta_P = \{S \in \Delta \mid S \subseteq P\}$ is a triangulation of P. If P is a rational polyhedron and Δ_P is a rational triangulation of P, then there exists a stellar subdivision ∇ of Δ, such that the simplicial complex $\nabla_P = \{S \in \nabla \mid S \subseteq P\}$ is a regular triangulation of P.*

Proof. The affine version of [6, Theorem 8.5] yields a regular triangulation Σ of P that is a stellar subdivision of Δ_P. □

A simplex S is said to be *strongly regular* if it is regular and the greatest common divisor of the denominators of the vertices of S is equal to 1. A rational triangulation Δ of a rational polyhedron P is said to be *strongly regular* if each maximal simplex of Δ is strongly regular.

Lemma 3.5 ([5, Lemma 3.3])**.** *Let Δ and ∇ be regular triangulations of a rational polyhedron $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$. Then Δ is strongly regular if and only if ∇ is.*

Lemma 3.6. *Let $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a rational polyhedron. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

(i) *there exists a strongly regular triangulation of P;*
(ii) *for each rational point $v \in P$ there exist $w \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ such that the convex segment $\operatorname{conv}(v, v + \varepsilon(w - v))$ is contained in P.*

Proof. (i)⇒(i). Let $v \in P \cap \mathbb{Q}^n$. If $v \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, the claim follows trivially by setting $w = v$ since $\operatorname{conv}(v, v + \varepsilon(w - v)) = \{v\} \subseteq P$ for each $\varepsilon > 0$. So assume that $v \notin \mathbb{Z}^n$. Let Δ be a strongly regular triangulation of P and S be a strongly regular simplex in Δ such that $v \in S$. By [4,

Theorem 4.4], there exists $u \in S$ such that $\gcd(\operatorname{den}(v), \operatorname{den}(w)) = 1$. Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ be such that $a > 0$ and $a \operatorname{den}(v) + b \operatorname{den}(w) = 1$. Since $v \notin \mathbb{Z}^n$, $\operatorname{den}(v) \neq 1$ and $b \neq 1$. Let us set $w = a \operatorname{den}(v) v + b \operatorname{den}(u) u \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $\varepsilon = 1/(b \operatorname{den}(u))$. Then $v + \varepsilon(w - v) = u$ and $\operatorname{conv}(v, v + \varepsilon(w - v)) \subseteq S \subseteq P$, which proves that P satisfies condition (ii).

(ii)⇒(i) This is just an application of [8, Lemma 4.1] and [4, Theorem 4.17]. □

Lemma 3.7. *Let S be a strongly regular simplex. For each $k = 1, 2, \ldots$ there exists a rational point $v \in S$ such that $\gcd(k, \operatorname{den}(v)) = 1$.*

Proof. By [5, Lemma 3.4], for a suitably large $m \in \{1, 2, \ldots\}$, there exists a rational point $v \in S$ such that $\operatorname{den}(v)$ divides $(k \cdot m) + 1$. Therefore, $\operatorname{den}(v)$ and k are coprime. □

3.3. **$\mathbb{Z}$-maps and weighted abstract simplicial complexes.** Take $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ and $Q \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$ rational polyhedra, and $\eta\colon P \to Q$ a piecewise linear map. If there is a triangulation Δ of P such that the restriction of η to every simplex T of Δ coincides on T with an (affine) linear map $\eta_T\colon \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ with integer coefficients, then η is called a *$\mathbb{Z}$-map*. In other words, η is a $\mathbb{Z}$-map if and only if it is a piecewise linear map and each linear piece has integer coefficients. Since $\mathbb{Z}$-maps are piecewise linear, if a $\mathbb{Z}$-map η is compatible with a rational triangulation Δ, then η is uniquely determined by its values on $\operatorname{vert}(\Delta)$.

Lemma 3.8 ([10, Lemma 3.7])**.** *Let $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$ and $Q \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be rational polyhedra and Δ a regular triangulation of P. If $\eta\colon P \to Q$ is a piecewise linear map compatible with Δ, then the following conditions are equivalent:*

(i) *η is a $\mathbb{Z}$-map;*
(ii) *for each $v \in \operatorname{vert}(\Delta)$, $\eta(v) \in \mathbb{Q}^n$ and $\operatorname{den}(\eta(v))$ divides $\operatorname{den} v$.*

A *weighted abstract simplicial complex* is a triple (V, Σ, ω) where (V, Σ) is an (always finite) abstract simplicial complex and ω is a map from V into the set $\{1, 2, 3, \ldots\}$.

Let $\mathfrak{W} = (V, \Sigma, \omega)$ be a weighted abstract simplicial complex with vertex set $V = \{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$. Let $e_1, \ldots, e_n$ be the standard basis vectors of $\mathbb{R}^n$. We then use the notation $\Delta_{\mathfrak{W}}$ for the complex whose vertices are

$$v_1' = e_1/\omega(v_1), \ldots, v_n' = e_n/\omega(v_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

and whose k-simplexes ($k = 0, \ldots, n$) are given by

$$\operatorname{conv}(v_{i(0)}', \ldots, v_{i(k)}') \in \Delta_{\mathfrak{W}} \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \{v_{i(0)}, \ldots, v_{i(k)}\} \in \Sigma.$$

Trivially, $\Delta_{\mathfrak{W}}$ is a regular triangulation of the polyhedron $|\Delta_{\mathfrak{W}}| \subseteq [0,1]^n$. The polyhedron $|\Delta_{\mathfrak{W}}|$ is called the *geometric realisation* of $\mathfrak{W}$.

4. $\mathbb{Z}$-retracts and finitely generated projective unital ℓ-groups

Definition 4.1. A rational polyhedron $P \subseteq [0,1]^n$ is said to be a *$\mathbb{Z}$-retract* if there exists a $\mathbb{Z}$-map $\eta\colon [0,1]^n \to P$ such that

$$\eta(v) = v \text{ for each } v \in P.$$

The following result shows that the notion of $\mathbb{Z}$-retract is independent of the cube $[0,1]^n$.

Lemma 4.2 ([5, Lemma 4.2])**.** *Let $P \subseteq [0,1]^n$ be a rational polyhedron. If there is $m \in \{1, 2, \ldots\}$ and $\mathbb{Z}$-maps $\mu\colon [0,1]^m \to P$ and $\nu\colon P \to [0,1]^m$ such that $\mu(\nu(v)) = v$ for each $v \in P$, then P is a $\mathbb{Z}$-retract.*

By definition, $\mathcal{M}(P)$ is the set of all real-valued $\mathbb{Z}$-maps defined on P. The connection between $\mathbb{Z}$-retracts and finitely generated unital ℓ-groups is given by the following:

Theorem 4.3 ([5, Theorem 4.1])**.** *A unital ℓ-group (G, u) is finitely generated projective if and only if it is isomorphic to $\mathcal{M}(P)$ for some $\mathbb{Z}$-retract P.*

In Theorem 4.7, the main result of this paper, we present necessary and sufficient conditions for a rational polyhedron to be a $\mathbb{Z}$-retract. The proof relies on the following three results:

Theorem 4.4 (Whitehead's Theorem–contractible case [7, Theorem 4.5])**.** *Let $P \subseteq [0,1]^n$ be a polyhedron. If P is contractible, then P is a* deformation retract *of $[0,1]^n$, that is, there exists a retraction $f\colon [0,1]^n \to P$ homotopically equivalent to the identity on $[0,1]^n$ relative to P.*

Theorem 4.5 (Relative Simplicial Approximation Theorem [12, Theorem 3.2.1])**.** *Let $P \subseteq Q \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ and $R \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$ be polyhedra and $f\colon Q \to R$ be a continuous map such that $f\restriction_P$ is a piecewise linear. Then there exists a piecewise linear map $g\colon Q \to R$ homotopically equivalent to f such that f and agrees with g on P (in symbols, $g\restriction_P = f\restriction_P$).*

Theorem 4.6 ([5, Theorem 5.1])**.** *Let $P \subseteq [0,1]^n$ be a polyhedron. Assume*

(i) *P has a collapsible triangulation ∇,*
(ii) *P contains a vertex v of $[0,1]^n$, and*
(iii) *P has a strongly regular triangulation Δ.*

Then P is a $\mathbb{Z}$-retract.

We are now ready to prove the main result of this paper.

Theorem 4.7. *Let $P \subseteq [0,1]^n$ be a rational polyhedron. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

(a) *P is a $\mathbb{Z}$-retract.*
(b) *P has the following properties:*
  (i) *P is contractible,*
  (ii) *$P \cap \{0,1\}^n \neq \emptyset$, and*
  (iii) *P has a strongly regular triangulation.*

Proof. Theorems 1.1 and 4.3 take care of (a)⇒(b).

(b)⇒(a). Assume that P satisfies (i)–(iii). We divide the proof into two parts. The first part is devoted to contructing a map $\eta\colon [0,1]^n \to P$ and a (not necessarily rational) triangulation Δ of $[0,1]^n$ satisfying the following conditions:

(a) η is a continuous retract onto P;
(b) η is piecewise linear;
(c) Δ is collapsible;
(d) η and Δ are compatible;
(e) the simplicial complex $\Delta_P = \{S \in \Delta \mid S \subseteq P\}$ is a triangulation of P;
(f) Δ_P is rational and regular;
(g) $\eta(v)$ is a rational point for each $v \in \operatorname{vert}(\Delta)$;
(h) for each n-simplex $\operatorname{conv}(v_0, \dots, v_n) \in \Delta$,

$$\gcd(\operatorname{den}(\eta(v_0), \dots, \operatorname{den}(\eta(v_n))) = 1.$$

In the second part, using η and Δ, we will prove that P is a $\mathbb{Z}$-retract.

Part 1: The map η and the triangulation Δ, satisfying (a)–(h) above, are obtained in a series of steps. Each step provides a map and/or a triangulation that increasingly satisfy the conditions listed above. We label the steps with the capitalised letter of the label of the property that the new map and/or the triangulation obtained will have–in addition to the ones already satisfied by the previous maps and triangulations.

Step (A): By Theorem 4.4, there exists a continuous retraction $\eta_a\colon [0,1]^n \to P$.

Step (B): An application of the Simplicial Approximation Theorem (Theorem 4.5) provides a continuous piecewise linear map $\eta_b\colon [0,1]^n \to P$ such that $\eta_b\restriction_P = \eta_a\restriction_P$. Therefore, η_b is also a continuous retraction of $[0,1]^n$ onto P.

Step (C): Let Δ_c be the standard triangulation of $[0,1]^n$ in Example 2.4. (Any other collapsible triangulation of $[0,1]^n$ would do.)

Step (D): Let Σ be a triangulation of $[0,1]^n$ such that $\eta_b\restriction_S$ is linear for each $S \in \Sigma$. Lemma 2.1 yields a stellar subdivision Δ_d of Δ_c such that Δ_d is also a subdivision of Σ. Therefore, η_b is linear on each simplex of Δ_d, and by Lemma 2.5, Δ_d is collapsible.

Step (E): Since $P \subseteq [0,1]^n$ is a rational polyhedron, Corollary 2.2 yields a stellar subdivision Δ_e of Δ_d such that the simplicial complex $(\Delta_e)_P = \{S \in \Delta_e \mid S \subseteq P\}$ is a triangulation of P. Clearly η_b and Δ_e are compatible, and by Lemma 2.5, Δ_e is collapsible since Δ_d is.

Step (F): An application of Corollary 3.2 to Δ_e provides a triangulation Δ'_e of $[0,1]^n$ that is simplicially isomorphic to Δ_e and such that the simplicial complex $(\Delta'_e)_P = \{S \in \Delta'_e \mid S \subseteq P\}$ is a rational triangulation of P. Now Lemma 3.4 gives a stellar subdivision Δ_f of Δ'_e such that the simplicial complex $(\Delta_f)_P = \{S \in \Delta_f \mid S \subseteq P\}$ is a regular triangulation of P. Since Δ_e is collapsible and Δ'_e is simplicially isomorphic to Δ_e, the triangulation Δ'_e of $[0,1]^n$ is collapsible, whence so is its stellar subdivision Δ_f.

Step (G): Let $\eta_f \colon [0,1]^n \to P$ be the unique piecewise linear map compatible with Δ_f such that

$$\eta_f(v) = \begin{cases} v & \text{if } v \in \operatorname{vert}(\Delta_f) \cap P, \\ \eta_b(v) & \text{if } v \in \operatorname{vert}(\Delta_f) \setminus P. \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

Corollary 2.3 yields a stellar subdivision Δ_g of Δ_f satisfying the following conditions:

(G-i) for each $S \in \Delta_g$ there is $T \in (\Delta_f)_P$ such that $\eta_f(S) \subseteq T$;
(G-ii) if $S \in \Delta_f$ is such that there exists $T \in (\Delta_f)_P$ with $\eta(S) \subseteq T$, then $S \in \Delta_g$.

Let $S \in (\Delta_f)_P$. Since $\eta_f(S) = S$, from (G-ii) it follows that $S \in (\Delta_g)_P = \{T \in \Delta_g \mid T \subseteq P\}$. Therefore,

$$(\Delta_f)_P = (\Delta_g)_P. \tag{2}$$

Since Δ_f is a stellar subdivision of Δ_g, the map η_f is compatible with Δ_g. An application of Lemma 3.3 provides a map $\eta_g \colon [0,1]^n \to P$ such that

(G-iii) for each $v \in \operatorname{vert}(\Delta_g)$ the point $\eta_g(v)$ is rational, and
(G-iv) for each $v \in \operatorname{vert}(\Delta_g)$, if $\eta_f(v)$ is a rational point then $\eta_g(v) = \eta_f(v)$.

Since $(\Delta_f)_P$ is a rational triangulation of P, each vertex of $(\Delta_f)_P$ is a rational point. Thus from condition (G-iv) and (1) it follows that

$$\eta_g(v) = \eta_f(v) = v \quad \text{for each } v \in P. \tag{3}$$

By Lemma 2.5, Δ_g is collapsible since Δ_f is.

Step (H): Since Δ_g is a triangulation of $[0,1]^n$, a simplex in Δ_g is maximal if and only if it is an n-simplex. Let $S_1, \dots, S_k$ be the list of all n-simplexes of Δ_g $\gcd(\{\operatorname{den}(\eta(v)) \mid v \in \operatorname{vert}(S)\}) \neq 1$. For each $i = 1, \dots k$, let v_i be an arbitrary point in the relative interior of S_i, that is, v_i belongs to S_i but does not belong to any proper face of S_i (for instance, we may let each v_i be the barycentre of S_i). Set $\nabla_0 = \Delta_g$ and $\nabla_i = (\nabla_{i-1})_{v_i}$ for each $i = 1, \dots, k$. Let $\Delta_h = \nabla_k$. Since Δ_g is collapsible and Δ_h is a stellar subdivision of Δ_g, it follows from Lemma 2.5 that Δ_h is collapsible. By (iii), P admits a strongly regular triangulation. Since $(\Delta_g)_P$ is a regular, Lemma 3.5 shows that $(\Delta_g)_P$ is strongly regular. Therefore, $\{S_1, \dots, S_k\} \cap (\Delta_g)_P = \emptyset$, and hence

$$(\Delta_h)_P = (\Delta_g)_P. \tag{4}$$

For each $i = 1, \dots, k$, let $T_i \in (\Delta_g)_P = (\Delta_h)_P$ be such that $\eta_g(S_i) \subseteq T_i$ and T_i is maximal in $(\Delta_h)_P$. By Lemma 3.7, for each $i = 1, \dots, k$, there exists a rational point $x_i \in T_i$ such that $\operatorname{den}(x_i)$ and $\operatorname{den}(\eta(v))$ are coprime for each $v \in \operatorname{vert}(S_i)$. Let η_h be the unique piecewise linear map compatible with Δ_h such that

$$\eta_h(v) = \begin{cases} \eta_g(v) & \text{if } v \in \operatorname{vert}(\Delta_g), \\ x_i & \text{if } v = v_i \text{ for some } i = 1, \dots, k. \end{cases} \tag{5}$$

Then η_g satisfies (h).

To conclude the first part of the proof let us set $\eta = \eta_h$ and $\Delta = \Delta_h$. From Step (H), it follows directly that η and Δ_h satisfy conditions (b)-(d) and (h). Since $(\Delta_f)_P$ is a regular triangulation of P, from (2) and (4) it follows that Δ satisfies (e) and (f). From (G-i) and (5) it follows that η satisfies (g). By definition, η is continuous. Equations (3) and (5) show that $\eta(v) = v$ for each

$v \in \mathrm{vert}(\Delta_P)$. Since Δ_P is a triangulation of P and η is compatible with Δ, we obtain that $\eta(v) = v$ for each $v \in P$, thus proving that η satisfies (a).

Therefore, we have proved the existence of η and Δ satisfying conditions (a)–(h).

Part 2: Let the abstract simplicial complex $(\mathrm{vert}(\Delta), \Sigma_\Delta)$ be the skeleton of Δ (see Section 2.2). Let $\mathfrak{W} = (\mathrm{vert}(\Delta), \Sigma_\Delta, \omega)$ be the weighted abstract simplicial complex such that

$$\omega(v) = \mathrm{den}(\eta(v)) \quad \text{for each } v \in \mathrm{vert}(\Delta). \tag{6}$$

Let $v_1, \ldots, v_k$ be the vertices of Δ, and $Q = |\Delta_{\mathfrak{W}}| \subseteq [0,1]^k$ the geometric realisation of $\mathfrak{W}$. By (ii), there exists $v \in P$ such that $\mathrm{den}(v) = 1$. Since Δ_P is a regular triangulation of P, $v = v_i \in \mathrm{vert}(\Delta_P) \subseteq \mathrm{vert}(\Delta)$ for some $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$ and $\omega(v_i) = \mathrm{den}(\eta(v)) = \mathrm{den}(v) = 1$. Thus $e_i \in Q \cap \{0,1\}^k$. Since Δ is a triangulation of $[0,1]^n$, a simplex S is maximal in Δ if and only if it is an n-simplex. As a consequence of (h), for each S maximal in Δ, we have $\gcd(\{\omega(v) \mid v \in \mathrm{vert}(S)\}) = 1$. Thus $\Delta_{\mathfrak{W}}$ is a strongly regular triangulation of Q. Hence, Theorem 4.6 implies that $Q \subseteq [0,1]^k$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-retract. Let $\nu\colon [0,1]^k \to Q$ be a $\mathbb{Z}$-map such that $\nu(v) = v$ for each $v \in Q$.

Now, let $\mu\colon Q \to P$ be the unique piecewise linear map compatible with $\Delta_{\mathfrak{W}}$ such that

$$\mu(e_i/\omega(v_i)) = \eta(v_i) \text{ for each } v_i \in \mathrm{vert}(\Delta). \tag{7}$$

By (6) and Lemma 3.8, μ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-map. Similarly, let $\xi\colon P \to Q$ be the unique piecewise linear map compatible with Δ_P that satisfies

$$\xi(v_i) = e_i/\omega(v_i) \text{ for each } v_i \in \mathrm{vert}(\Delta_P) = \mathrm{vert}(\Delta) \cap P. \tag{8}$$

By (6), another application of Lemma 3.8 shows that ξ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-map.

Clearly, $\mu \circ \xi$ is compatible with Δ_P. From (7) and (8), it follows $\mu \circ \xi(v_i) = \mu(e_i/\omega(v_i)) = \eta(v_i) = v_i$ for each $v_i \in \mathrm{vert}(\Delta_P)$. Therefore, $(\mu \circ \xi)(v) = v$ for each $v \in P$.

In conclusion, the $\mathbb{Z}$-maps $\mu \circ \nu\colon [0,1]^k \to P$ and $\xi\colon P \to Q \subseteq [0,1]^k$ satisfy $(\mu \circ \nu) \circ \xi(v) = v$ for each $v \in P$. By Lemma 4.2, P is a $\mathbb{Z}$-retract, and the proof is complete. □

Combining Lemma 3.6 with Theorems 4.3, and 4.7 we obtain the following:

Theorem 4.8. *A unital ℓ-group (G, u) is finitely generated and projective if and only if there exist $n \in \{1, 2, , \ldots\}$ and a rational polyhedron $P \subseteq [0,1]^n$ such that $(G, u) \cong \mathcal{M}(P)$ and*

(i) *P is contractible,*
(ii) *$P \cap \{0,1\}^n \neq \emptyset$, and*
(iii) *for each rational point $v \in P$ there exist $w \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ such that the convex segment $\mathrm{conv}(v, v + \varepsilon(w - v))$ is contained in P.*

If $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is an n-simplex, then S automatically satisfies condition (iii) in Corollary 4.8.

Corollary 4.9. *Let P be a rational polyhedron $P \subseteq [0,1]^n$ such that*

(i) *P is contractible,*
(ii) *$P \cap \{0,1\}^n \neq \emptyset$, and*
(iii) *$P = S_1, \cup \cdots \cup S_k$ for suitable n-simplexes $S_1, \ldots, S_k \subseteq [0,1]^n$.*

Then $\mathcal{M}(P)$ is a finitely generated projective unital ℓ-group.

Acknowledgements. I would like to thank Daniele Mundici for his numerous comments and corrections. I also wish to thank Hilary Priestley for her careful reading of a previous draft of this paper and her valuable suggestions for improvement.

References

[1] Baker, K. A., Free vector lattices, *Canad. J. Math.*, 20:58–66, 1968.
[2] Beynon, W. M., On rational subdivisions of polyhedra with rational vertices, *Canad. J. Math.*, 29:238–242, 1977.
[3] Beynon, W. M., Applications of duality in the theory of finitely generated lattice-ordered abelian groups, *Canad. J. Math.*, 29:243–254, 1977.
[4] Cabrer, L. M., Simplicial geometry of unital lattice-ordered abelian groups, *Forum Math.* (Published Online: 03/07/2013, DOI:10.1515/forum-2011-0131).

[5] Cabrer, L. M., Mundici, D., Rational polyhedra and projective lattice-ordered abelian groups with order unit, *Commun. Contemp. Math.,* 14(3) (2012) 1250017
(DOI: 10.1142/S0219199712500174).
[6] Ewald, G., *Combinatorial Convexity and Algebraic Geometry*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1996.
[7] Hatcher, A., *Algebraic topology*, Cambridge University Press, 2001.
[8] E. Jeřábek, Admissible rules of Łukasiewicz logic, *J. Logic and Comp.,* **20**(2) (2010), 425-447.
[9] D. Mundici, Interpretation of AF C*-algebras in Łukasiewicz sentential calculus, *J. Funct. Anal.,* **65** (1986), 15-63.
[10] D. Mundici, *Advanced Łukasiewicz calculus and MV-algebras*, Trends Log. Stud. Log. Libr., Vol. 35, Springer, New York, 2011.
[11] Semadeni, Z., *Schauder bases in Banach spaces of continuous functions*, Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 918. Springer, Berlin, 1982.
[12] Stallings, J. R., *Lectures on Polyhedral Topology*, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Mumbay, 1967.
[13] Whitehead, J. H. C., On subdivisions of complexes, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 31:69–75, 1935.
[14] Whitehead, J. H. C., Simplicial spaces, nuclei and m-groups, *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.*, 45:243–327, 1939.

Department of Computer Science, Statistics and Applications "Giuseppe Parenti", University of Florence, Viale Morgagni 59 – I-50134, Florence - Italy
E-mail address: l.cabrer@disia.unifi.it